

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:23.660

Apollonia, Rory

So I'm gonna give it one more minute to let more people join the meeting, and then we're gonna go through with Miss Westbrooks presentation from the EDC's and following that, the Green Bank has reached out to me and they would like to make a couple comments after that presentation from the EDC's.

0:0:23.670 --> 0:0:24.210

Apollonia, Rory

EDC's.

0:0:24.220 --> 0:0:34.350

Apollonia, Rory

'S, So I think we'll be able to get all this done today and I appreciate everybody joined the meeting today after the long meeting yesterday.

0:0:36.430 --> 0:0:37.690

Apollonia, Rory

So this one more minute.

0:1:40.620 --> 0:1:41.90

Apollonia, Rory

Right.

0:1:41.130 --> 0:1:47.980

Apollonia, Rory

We have over 20 people and the meeting, so I feel like that's a large amount of people.

0:1:48.840 --> 0:1:55.30

Apollonia, Rory

So we're gonna start it off, and I'm gonna give it over to Marissa Westbrook. Peggy.

0:1:57.340 --> 0:1:57.710

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Great.

0:1:57.720 --> 0:1:58.600

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Thanks so much for.

0:1:58.610 --> 0:2:5.10

MARISSA WESTBROOK

I appreciate the time and appreciate everybody accommodating uh, this meeting on relatively short notice.

0:2:5.80 --> 0:2:6.530

MARISSA WESTBROOK

My name is Marissa Westbrook.

0:2:6.540 --> 0:2:10.950

MARISSA WESTBROOK

I'm a manager in the programs and products area for UI of on grid.

0:2:11.120 --> 0:2:23.330

MARISSA WESTBROOK

There are several other UI folks on the line that have been engaged in PWG meetings in the past that are involved in the interconnections, distributed generation and and metering side.

0:2:24.80 --> 0:2:27.720

MARISSA WESTBROOK

I'm also joined by my my colleague from Eversource, Brian Rice.

0:2:28.90 --> 0:2:29.110

MARISSA WESTBROOK

He was on on video.

0:2:29.120 --> 0:2:41.720

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Just a moment ago and, umm, we we don't have a formal presentation today and wanted to just open up a conversation around a requirement that we have which is due July 1.

0:2:42.220 --> 0:2:48.750

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Umm, we understood that the working group has been working towards several other priorities as well that were also due July 1.

0:2:49.130 --> 0:2:53.350

MARISSA WESTBROOK

And so this is this is one that we wanted to bring to the groups attention for discussion.

0:2:53.360 --> 0:3:2.30

MARISSA WESTBROOK

I am gonna share my screen briefly with the language of the requirement, and then we'll read it, read through it and and and let me share.

0:3:2.40 --> 0:3:12.960

MARISSA WESTBROOK

This is in the context of docket 220802 and also 230802, which is the residential renewable energy solutions.

0:3:13.630 --> 0:3:16.820

MARISSA WESTBROOK

I program review annual program review.

0:3:16.890 --> 0:3:53.10

MARISSA WESTBROOK

This came out in the February decision of 2023 and it will be filed also in the 230802 docket, which is the year 3 review for year three, which will begin in January and the requirement stemmed from some

conversations about projects that have not progressed, solar projects that have not progressed through the queue, often on the part of the the customer things just haven't moved forward and sometimes they get they get stuck in the system for lengthy periods of time without being removed.

0:3:53.500 --> 0:4:3.950

MARISSA WESTBROOK

And so with that, the requirement is uh, it's right here on the screen order 18 and no later than July 1.

0:4:4.20 --> 0:4:12.640

MARISSA WESTBROOK

The EDC's shall submit a proposal for a cancellation period, after which projects which have not progressed in any way can be cancelled.

0:4:13.490 --> 0:4:19.590

MARISSA WESTBROOK

PDF's are directed to work with the Policy Working group to develop the proposal further.

0:4:19.680 --> 0:4:29.640

MARISSA WESTBROOK

The proposal showing should include a working definition of progress of a projects that have not progressed and additionally this was added in the on February decision.

0:4:29.790 --> 0:5:13.220

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Additionally, the proposal shall include a plan for managing the identification and removal of duplicate project, so, as we wanted to present this to the group and and open it up for dialogue, we were we were assuming that the intention of this was really around the 25 kW unless applications also called level one, for Eversource 25 kW and less for UI and we wanted to kind of put those boundaries around around the conversation because we recognize that fast track and study and larger projects tend to have other sort of nuances that may cause them to or I should say the same, cancellation period may not apply to these larger projects.

0:5:13.230 --> 0:5:29.740

MARISSA WESTBROOK

So we'll we'll have this conversation around the 25 kW unless projects for purposes of this filing and I believe also the intention is to potentially integrate some of this language into the interconnection guidelines as well potentially.

0:5:29.750 --> 0:5:33.280

MARISSA WESTBROOK

So that's not here in language, but I think that was part of the conversation as well.

0:5:35.540 --> 0:5:40.400

MARISSA WESTBROOK

So with that, Brian, do you have anything you'd like to add in terms of context or setting the the stage for some conversation?

0:5:46.340 --> 0:5:47.700

MARISSA WESTBROOK

I think you're unmute, yeah.

0:5:46.490 --> 0:5:56.690

Rice, Brian J

So as I'm here, I think the other point that's important is when this order was promulgated.

0:5:57.290 --> 0:6:8.470

Rice, Brian J

Yes, at that time the RSS program only allowed applications to the netting tariff for a single PV system.

0:6:9.300 --> 0:6:20.250

Rice, Brian J

Uh customers that installed multiple PV systems weren't allowed to participate in the netting tariff, and that was a pretty big obstacle in those instances when a prior application had been submitted.

0:6:21.150 --> 0:6:27.940

Rice, Brian J

Umm, the subsequent application wasn't eligible to apply for the net in tariff, at least for Eversource at this time.

0:6:27.950 --> 0:6:29.80

Rice, Brian J

That's that's no longer an issue.

0:6:29.780 --> 0:6:35.850

Rice, Brian J

Uh, the authority approved some rule changes that allow us to accept multiple netting projects.

0:6:36.960 --> 0:6:39.290

Rice, Brian J

So I think the this is probably less critical.

0:6:40.740 --> 0:6:41.150

Rice, Brian J

Umm.

0:6:41.350 --> 0:6:44.950

Rice, Brian J

That the timeline doesn't need necessarily need to be as short.

0:6:45.440 --> 0:6:49.40

Rice, Brian J

You know, 15 business days to rapidly clear out.

0:6:49.770 --> 0:7:0.40

Rice, Brian J

Umm, projects that were submitted with but which aren't moving forward, but there's still an interest in kind of quick cleaning out our our data set and not keeping style projects in there.

0:7:7.730 --> 0:7:7.890

Azzie C (Guest)

Right.

0:7:4.450 --> 0:7:21.890

MARISSA WESTBROOK

And I will just add a quick note on from a UI perspective on that the the rule change, although that Brian was describing, there has been a, an A rule change by Piera UI is intending to implement a similar capability, although our timing is a bit different from Eversource.

0:7:21.900 --> 0:7:36.980

MARISSA WESTBROOK

So we we may still have challenges with some of those projects or we may still have situations with some of those projects where there is a previous PV at the site and they have to currently add it as a they buy all projects.

0:7:36.990 --> 0:7:49.200

MARISSA WESTBROOK

So that is going to maintain the case that's still going to be the case for UI until such time that we have our systems changed in order to accommodate 2 netting systems, which will likely be at some point in 2024.

0:7:54.760 --> 0:8:4.10

MARISSA WESTBROOK

So perhaps we should open up just for some questions on that context setting and see if folks on the line have any specific questions about what we're trying to accomplish.

0:8:4.170 --> 0:8:11.930

MARISSA WESTBROOK

And any suggestions when we will start with questions, questions on on what we're trying to accomplish and what we just shared.

0:8:13.20 --> 0:8:13.290

Apollonia, Rory

Yeah.

0:8:13.300 --> 0:8:18.600

Apollonia, Rory

If you have questions, anybody please raise your hand and I'll allow you to speak.

0:8:22.950 --> 0:8:25.990

Apollonia, Rory

Alright, so first one's gonna be James C.

0:8:28.370 --> 0:8:49.280

James Cerkanowicz

So I just want to make sure it it the the the focus of this is strictly on the residential projects, is this particular order this docket and the time frames for cancellation periods does not apply to fast track and Andres or scuff type projects is correctly 25 and under residential our resident projects.

0:8:53.30 --> 0:8:53.780

Rice, Brian J

That's correct.

0:8:53.100 --> 0:8:54.10

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Yes, that is correct.

0:8:53.790 --> 0:9:2.40

Rice, Brian J

I mean, it's not to say, yeah, it's not to say it's not worth considering, you know, a cancellation process for those projects as well.

0:9:2.490 --> 0:9:13.290

Rice, Brian J

But for the purposes of today's discussion and what the headsets are required to file in the RSL we we thought it was productive to stand watch.

0:9:13.300 --> 0:9:15.890

Rice, Brian J

That that's limited to residential projects.

0:9:18.150 --> 0:9:19.130

James Cerkanowicz

OK, just because I haven't.

0:9:19.140 --> 0:9:19.280

James Cerkanowicz

Yeah.

0:9:19.290 --> 0:9:22.320

James Cerkanowicz

As you mentioned there you know they're obviously very different in nature.

0:9:22.670 --> 0:9:31.180

James Cerkanowicz

So I I think that's some of the you know the policies or you know things that might be established here, if it's if it's strictly for residential I understand.

0:9:31.190 --> 0:9:39.190

James Cerkanowicz

And because I think that they're obviously is quite a distinction between the residential 25 and understand the larger commercial scale projects.

0:9:39.200 --> 0:9:48.750

James Cerkanowicz

So just one one with the same standard when it comes to what's considered progress and what's considered, you know, reasonable notifications are they're kept separate.

0:9:50.170 --> 0:9:50.700

James Cerkanowicz

That's also.

0:9:54.960 --> 0:9:57.660

Apollonia, Rory

OK, we're going to go to Sarah P.

0:10:1.70 --> 0:10:2.450

Speaker 1

I said thank you.

0:10:2.530 --> 0:10:13.480

Speaker 1

I I clarifying question is IT projects that could be up for cancellation after they've been approved or is this before they get approved for Rs?

0:10:13.830 --> 0:10:21.250

Speaker 1

Is there a or is it both like some projects if they don't meet all their their paperwork requirements?

0:10:21.340 --> 0:10:23.760

Speaker 1

Are those sort of stale and those would get cleared out?

0:10:23.800 --> 0:10:33.520

Speaker 1

Or are these projects that have I made it through a certain step in in the process and then they sort of don't ever get interconnected?

0:10:36.300 --> 0:10:38.60

Rice, Brian J

Well, I think it'll be both.

0:10:39.710 --> 0:10:44.210

Rice, Brian J

You know, including, you know, up to the projects, obviously that are, yeah, online to receive PTO.

0:10:44.960 --> 0:10:53.700

Rice, Brian J

And I think we do recognize that there's probably a difference between a project that has applied and a project that has received contingent approval.

0:10:54.500 --> 0:11:0.700

Rice, Brian J

Umm, because a project that has received contingent approval, you know it has been authorized to go ahead and and get built.

0:11:1.970 --> 0:11:5.290

Rice, Brian J

And so we can, if we cancel that project after it's actually been built.

0:11:5.330 --> 0:11:5.510

Rice, Brian J

Yeah.

0:11:5.520 --> 0:11:6.660

Rice, Brian J

That's obviously disruption.

0:11:6.670 --> 0:11:8.210

Rice, Brian J

We don't want to to create.

0:11:9.290 --> 0:11:13.840

Rice, Brian J

That said, there are also projects that get contingent approval and don't go any further.

0:11:16.200 --> 0:11:34.200

Rice, Brian J

So yeah, I I think one of the the ways that we might address both efficiently, particularly because there's less urgency, umm, in clearing out net in applications for for subsequent net applications is establishing a longer time period.

0:11:34.320 --> 0:11:35.550

Rice, Brian J

You know, even up to a year.

0:11:36.360 --> 0:11:36.720

Rice, Brian J

Umm.

0:11:37.140 --> 0:11:54.170

Rice, Brian J

If a milestone if the subsequent milestone hasn't been reached within 12 months, then that would trigger withdrawal and there would still be a notification to both the applicant and the customer prior to that withdrawal.

0:11:54.720 --> 0:11:59.660

Rice, Brian J

Yeah, explaining your your your project, user provided contingent approval on next date.

0:12:0.280 --> 0:12:1.730

Rice, Brian J

Umm yeah.

0:12:1.780 --> 0:12:6.740

Rice, Brian J

Yeah, the DC has received no further communications that the project has been completed and received municipal inspection.

0:12:7.590 --> 0:12:8.0

Rice, Brian J

Umm.

0:12:8.800 --> 0:12:14.300

Rice, Brian J

Therefore, you know, unless directed otherwise, will be withdrawing the application and 30 days.

0:12:21.170 --> 0:12:28.480

Rice, Brian J

Alright rose we have more questions and I&I Jump to the the proposal but succeed Topical.

0:12:30.160 --> 0:12:30.520

Apollonia, Rory

OK.

0:12:31.800 --> 0:12:32.570

Apollonia, Rory

Thank you.

0:12:32.640 --> 0:12:34.790

Apollonia, Rory

Ohh, we're gonna go to Mike Trahan.

0:12:38.630 --> 0:12:39.420

Mike Trahan

The good morning.

0:12:39.430 --> 0:12:40.600

Mike Trahan

Just a quick question.

0:12:40.670 --> 0:12:53.220

Mike Trahan

So last year, towards the tail of the last year, I thought the interconnection working group performed a subcommittee to address interconnection policy for systems up to 25 kW.

0:12:53.370 --> 0:12:55.40

Mike Trahan

Can you can you help me understand how?

0:12:55.50 --> 0:12:55.420

Mike Trahan

What's?

0:12:55.510 --> 0:12:59.760

Mike Trahan

What's what's happening in this proposal differs from what we'd agreed on at that time.

0:13:5.630 --> 0:13:9.770

Rice, Brian J

I don't know has that is that subcommittee met since last year.

0:13:11.170 --> 0:13:12.110

Rice, Brian J

There's there's lots of.

0:13:12.260 --> 0:13:14.800

Rice, Brian J

I'll be honest, there's lots of working groups and subcommittees.

0:13:15.910 --> 0:13:18.180

Rice, Brian J

Umm, don't tell me what you're made in.

0:13:18.190 --> 0:13:23.710

Rice, Brian J

Someone which aren't so the, you know, I think we're happy to work through this with that with another group, but.

0:13:25.210 --> 0:13:26.360

Mike Trahan

But if thanks Brian.

0:13:26.370 --> 0:13:27.260

Mike Trahan

So I I just.

0:13:27.770 --> 0:13:28.910

Mike Trahan

I'm sorry, I I like you.

0:13:28.920 --> 0:13:29.60

Mike Trahan

I'm.

0:13:29.970 --> 0:13:30.140

Rice, Brian J

It's.

0:13:29.210 --> 0:13:31.400

Mike Trahan

I'm yeah, I'm just caught a little flat footed on this one.

0:13:31.410 --> 0:13:46.200

Mike Trahan

I just do remember that there was a number of Members that were working with with both of you all on updating the interconnection policy guidelines for residential systems and then it seems like, you know an additional changes being made that which which is fine.

0:13:46.210 --> 0:13:52.20

Mike Trahan

I you know, we'll have to see your your proposal and we'll bring it to the membership and then we'll talk it through.

0:13:52.30 --> 0:13:53.580

Mike Trahan

But I was just just curious.

0:13:53.670 --> 0:13:53.990

Mike Trahan

Thank you.

0:13:58.570 --> 0:13:59.180

Apollonia, Rory

OK.

0:13:59.530 --> 0:14:0.10

Apollonia, Rory

Ohh.

0:13:59.920 --> 0:14:0.240

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Yeah, and.

0:14:0.20 --> 0:14:10.90

Apollonia, Rory

Like just a question by could I ask is that related to the technical or policy working groups which I'm under control?

0:14:10.100 --> 0:14:10.690

Apollonia, Rory

Do you know who's?

0:14:12.810 --> 0:14:16.380

Apollonia, Rory

Uh leading the working group that you were talking about last year?

0:14:17.710 --> 0:14:18.620

Mike Trahan

Yeah, you it was.

0:14:18.630 --> 0:14:29.470

Mike Trahan

It was a subgroup of this group that was made up of uh stakeholders, including the EDC's and we went line by line.

0:14:29.520 --> 0:14:43.900

Mike Trahan

If memory serves me correct, through the inner connection guidelines for residential systems I I I didn't know if this was just a refinement of that or if this was just the wholesale change, but I I haven't read through it, admittedly to understand.

0:14:43.910 --> 0:14:48.210

Mike Trahan

But we'll work our way through it. Thanks.

0:14:49.670 --> 0:14:49.940

Apollonia, Rory

OK.

0:14:51.370 --> 0:14:52.390

Apollonia, Rory

Uh Westbrook.

0:14:52.430 --> 0:14:54.180

Apollonia, Rory

Did you wanna make a comment?

0:14:55.450 --> 0:14:58.830

MARISSA WESTBROOK

I was actually going to ask the same question that that you had asked, so thank you.

0:14:59.470 --> 0:15:0.550

Apollonia, Rory

OK, that's good.

0:15:2.610 --> 0:15:3.210

Apollonia, Rory

OK.

0:15:3.300 --> 0:15:5.890

Apollonia, Rory

Any other hands questions?

0:15:8.240 --> 0:15:8.740

Speaker 2

Good morning.

0:15:8.750 --> 0:15:10.290

Speaker 2

This is Cornelius from UI.

0:15:12.110 --> 0:15:21.680

Speaker 2

I think, Mike, what you're talking about is the meeting we last had on December 20th and there's minutes available in a lot of language that talks about the cancellation period that we discussed.

0:15:21.730 --> 0:15:24.430

Speaker 2

And I think it's somewhat different than what we're talking about here.

0:15:24.440 --> 0:15:26.140

Speaker 2

But there's minutes there.

0:15:26.150 --> 0:15:31.180

Speaker 2

I'd be happy to share them with you or you know they're on the website your website.

0:15:37.460 --> 0:15:37.780

Speaker 2

Thanks, bye.

0:15:40.150 --> 0:15:41.220

Apollonia, Rory

OK, thumbs up.

0:15:41.580 --> 0:15:48.360

Apollonia, Rory

Yeah, we do have the minutes on the website if that is December 20th, I can send that around a link to the website.

0:15:48.370 --> 0:15:52.610

Apollonia, Rory

If you don't have it or just a document ohm.

0:15:53.400 --> 0:15:59.130

Apollonia, Rory

OK, the EDC's, do you have any umm for the statements about this proposal?

0:16:4.770 --> 0:16:10.320

Rice, Brian J

No, I think thank you, Mike, for the question and Cornelius for for noting the Minutes.

0:16:10.330 --> 0:16:16.710

Rice, Brian J

I think we'll cross check those again where we have an obligation to propose something upcoming.

0:16:16.720 --> 0:16:22.160

Rice, Brian J

I think we tried to get in front of this Group A little bit earlier so, but that was unable to happen.

0:16:22.170 --> 0:16:59.280

Rice, Brian J

So we we do apologize for the somewhat short notice, but this is also what we hope a pretty straightforward issue that that is at least for our filing is is limited residential projects and our most recent thinking in light of changes to treatment of multiple netting systems is to to keep the straightforward and and have a cancellation period of up to a year that would be generally applicable to all projects including those that have contingent approval if they if that if 12 months have gone by since the most recent milestone was completed.

0:17:1.10 --> 0:17:1.330

Rice, Brian J

Umm.

0:17:1.450 --> 0:17:18.440

Rice, Brian J

And withdrawal wouldn't take place until after a period until until after both the applicant and the customer have been notified of the intent to withdraw, and a period has gone by for them to respond and indicate that they want the application maintained.

0:17:21.110 --> 0:17:21.410

Apollonia, Rory

OK.

0:17:23.900 --> 0:17:24.500

Apollonia, Rory

Thank you for that.

0:17:25.900 --> 0:17:26.310

Rice, Brian J

OK.

0:17:26.320 --> 0:17:31.510

Rice, Brian J

And again it's, you know, if folks have strong comments, they're welcome to bring them up now.

0:17:31.520 --> 0:17:39.900

Rice, Brian J

They're welcome to to reach out to Marissa or myself or other staff that Eversource and UI involved in air connection.

0:17:40.870 --> 0:17:53.10

Rice, Brian J

We will be filing our proposal, which remains proposal and will be reviewed by the authorities, so it's not to say that there won't be further opportunity to to provide opinion before something like this might be finalized.

0:17:57.320 --> 0:18:0.330

Apollonia, Rory

Alright, that's good ohm.

0:18:0.370 --> 0:18:5.580

Apollonia, Rory

If there's no other comments related to this proposal, we're gonna move over to the Green Bank.

0:18:6.170 --> 0:18:7.590

Apollonia, Rory

They reached out to me the other day.

0:18:8.500 --> 0:18:16.230

Apollonia, Rory

They would like to make a statement in this meeting and then get feedback from the document which I sent around.

0:18:16.880 --> 0:18:20.860

Apollonia, Rory

Uh this weekend, so Ed.

0:18:22.640 --> 0:18:23.330

Edward P. Kranich

Things worry.

0:18:23.400 --> 0:18:23.970

Edward P. Kranich

Can you hear me OK?

0:18:24.610 --> 0:18:24.710

Apollonia, Rory

Yes.

0:18:26.200 --> 0:18:26.500

Edward P. Kranich

Yeah.

0:18:26.510 --> 0:18:48.260

Edward P. Kranich

So just really quickly we we talked yesterday we we went over and the proposed filing as requested by Piero which is due this Thursday which includes the the scope of work and schedule for the next 4 Interconnection Working group meetings to go over matters pertaining to energy storage.

0:18:48.710 --> 0:18:59.170

Edward P. Kranich

So we just wanted to send out another reminder to everyone in the group that those comments are due from us or not comments, but the scope of work is due on Thursday.

0:19:0.150 --> 0:19:10.470

Edward P. Kranich

So if anyone has any comments or any suggestions, I think probably the only one that we took away from yesterday was that we need to probably push the schedule out like another week or so.

0:19:12.370 --> 0:19:16.840

Edward P. Kranich

But aside from that, any any topics, please send them to.

0:19:17.30 --> 0:19:22.550

Edward P. Kranich

You can send them to me directly or Sergio and yeah.

0:19:22.600 --> 0:19:40.0

Edward P. Kranich

So you know today tomorrow that would be great to to get to us or if you intend on sending something by the beginning of the day on Thursday, just let us know in advance so we can make room and our schedule to accommodate that.

0:19:40.820 --> 0:19:41.430

Edward P. Kranich

So thanks.

0:19:44.420 --> 0:19:45.510

Apollonia, Rory

Alright, thank you.

0:19:45.700 --> 0:19:49.890

Apollonia, Rory

Anybody has any comments relating to that right now?

0:19:49.970 --> 0:19:50.990

Apollonia, Rory

Please raise your hand.

0:19:52.50 --> 0:20:0.620

Apollonia, Rory

If not, we're gonna close this meeting out early, and I wish everybody a good morning.

0:20:2.730 --> 0:20:6.570

Apollonia, Rory

So I'm not seeing any hands, but we'll give it just a little bit of time.

0:20:16.190 --> 0:20:16.570

Apollonia, Rory

All right.

0:20:18.630 --> 0:20:20.190

Apollonia, Rory

Or I'm assuming there's no comments.

0:20:20.70 --> 0:20:20.360

Scott (Guest)

OK.

0:20:22.490 --> 0:20:27.340

Apollonia, Rory

And like I just said, everybody have a good, good morning.

0:20:28.50 --> 0:20:32.950

Apollonia, Rory

Thanks for joining the meeting and we'll have meetings next month.

0:20:34.960 --> 0:20:35.310

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Great.

0:20:35.200 --> 0:20:35.500

Apollonia, Rory

OK.

0:20:35.320 --> 0:20:36.40

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Thank you very much.

0:20:36.570 --> 0:20:37.220

Speaker 2

Thanks Roy.

0:20:37.280 --> 0:20:37.900

MARK KIRSCHBAUM

Exploring.

0:20:36.740 --> 0:20:38.580

Andreas Schmid

Thanks for everybody.

0:20:39.30 --> 0:20:39.670

MARISSA WESTBROOK

Thanks everybody.

0:20:39.490 --> 0:20:40.80

Apollonia, Rory  
Have a great day.

0:20:40.880 --> 0:20:41.310

Edward P. Kranich  
Thanks.

0:20:40.880 --> 0:20:41.360

MARISSA WESTBROOK  
Good day.

0:20:42.790 --> 0:20:43.370

Peter Falcier  
Thanks everybody.